

Heritage Monitoring of 6 sites within 2km of the Yara Pilbara Nitrates Pty Ltd plant site (Western Australia)

Erick Ramanaidou¹, Deborah Lau² and Tracey Markley¹

1 CSIRO Minerals Down Under Flagship, Kensington, Western Australia

2 CSIRO Materials Science and Engineering, Clayton, Victoria

EP143692

12th May 2014

Yara Pilbara Nitrates Pty Ltd

Commercial-in-confidence

CSIRO Minerals Down Under Flagship

Citation

Ramanaidou E, Lau D, and Markley T (2014). Heritage Monitoring of 6 sites within 2km of the Yara Pilbara Nitrates Pty Ltd plant site (Western Australia). CSIRO, Australia. Confidential Report #EP143692.

Copyright and disclaimer

© 2014 CSIRO To the extent permitted by law, all rights are reserved and no part of this publication covered by copyright may be reproduced or copied in any form or by any means except with the written permission of CSIRO.

Important disclaimer

CSIRO advises that the information contained in this publication comprises general statements based on scientific research. The reader is advised and needs to be aware that such information may be incomplete or unable to be used in any specific situation. No reliance or actions must therefore be made on that information without seeking prior expert professional, scientific and technical advice. To the extent permitted by law, CSIRO (including its employees and consultants) excludes all liability to any person for any consequences, including but not limited to all losses, damages, costs, expenses and any other compensation, arising directly or indirectly from using this publication (in part or in whole) and any information or material contained in it.

Contents

Acknowledgments	iii
Executive summary.....	iv
1. Introduction	6
2. Location and sampling of the petroglyphs.....	7
3. Instrumentation	9
3.1 Spectrophotometer	9
3.2 Reflectance spectrometer.....	10
3.3 3D imaging camera	11
4. Results	12
4.1 Petroglyphs engraving and background spots.....	12
4.2 Colour and spectral information.....	12
4.3 3D Mapping.....	21
5. Conclusions	22
6. References.....	23

Figures

Figure 1 Proposed new sites (Yellow numbers) with dominant wind directions and speed in the rose wind	7
Figure 2: Google Earth® maps of the Burrup Peninsula with the petroglyphs location.....	8
Figure 3. Konica Minolta Photospectrometer in use for the measurement of Petroglyphs (Site 7)	10
Figure 4 ASD spectrometer in use for the measurement of Petroglyphs (Site 2)	11

Tables

Table 1 Coordinates (GDA 94, Zone 50) of the 6 sites measured for the TAN monitoring project	8
Table 2 Location of the engravings and background spots for the 6 petroglyphs	13
Table 3 Reflectance spectra and photospectrometry results for Site 5	15
Table 4 Reflectance spectra and photospectrometry results for Site 6	16
Table 5 Reflectance spectra and photospectrometry results for Site 7	17
Table 6 Reflectance spectra and photospectrometry results for Site 21	18
Table 7 Reflectance spectra and photospectrometry results for Site 22	19
Table 8 Reflectance spectra and photospectrometry results for Site 23	20
Table 9 Photographs information for the generation of the 3D mapping	21

Acknowledgments

This work is performed in collaboration with Bill Carr as part of the Burrup Rock Art Monitoring Program, supported by Yara Pilbara Nitrates Pty Ltd, the Australian Government Department of the Environment and the Western Australian Government Department of Environment Regulation.

Executive summary

The Burrup Peninsula is located at around 1300 km from Perth in Western Australia and contains Australia's largest collection of indigenous petroglyphs. Alongside the petroglyphs, the Burrup Peninsula has several large industrial complexes including iron ore, liquefied natural gas production, salt production and fertilisers with one of Australia's largest ports. Some of the petroglyphs are located next to these industrial areas and some concerns were expressed that the petroglyphs could be damaged by emissions from the industry. To respond to these concerns, The Western Australian government established the independent Burrup Rock Art Monitoring Management Committee (BRAMMC) that commissioned a number of studies to monitor the petroglyphs under the auspices of the Burrup Rock Art Technical Working Group monitoring program (BRATWG). They included, in particular, colour change and mineral spectroscopy studies undertaken by CSIRO for the last 10 years.

Yara Pilbara Nitrates Pty Ltd (YPNPL) is building a Technical Ammonium Nitrate Production Facility Project (or TAN) on the Burrup Peninsula. To respond to the requirements of the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999, YPNPL needs to engage a heritage monitor to survey the rock art sites within a two kilometre radius of the project site. CSIRO has been a heritage monitor for the West Australian Government Department for Environmental Regulation for the monitoring of the Burrup petroglyphs for the last decade and was considered appropriate to be the heritage monitor for YPNPL.

The rock art study dedicated for the TAN Project required the heritage monitoring of petroglyphs sites within 2km of the plant site. Selected sites were determined in consultation with members of Murujuga Aboriginal Corporation to respect the cultural laws of the traditional owners for the entitlement of access. The selected petroglyphs were firstly evaluated for their appropriateness for scientific study, including petroglyph size and quality, direction of exposure, elevation, dominant winds direction within 2 km of the TAN project location.

From the six selected monitoring sites; three were already part of the decade-old and ongoing BRATWG monitoring program and an additional three sites were also selected. In July 2014, the three new sites will become part of the BRATWG monitoring program. On each monitored petroglyph panel, eight (8) sampling areas or "spots" were selected; four (4) areas classified as 'engraving' – defined by the pecking marks that constitute the image and four (4) area classified as 'background' – a section of the adjacent rock surface unmarked by the petroglyph.

Three types of measurements were carried out for the monitoring and included (1) colour contrast monitoring, (2) spectral mineralogy and (3) 3D visual imaging to assess the surface of the petroglyphs. These measurements will be used as the baseline dataset to monitor possible changes that could occur in the next three years.

1. Introduction

The Burrup Peninsula is around 30 km long and 6 km wide and is located 1300 km from Perth in Western Australia. The peninsula is of unique cultural and archaeological significance as it contains Australia's largest and most important collection of indigenous petroglyphs. Alongside the petroglyphs, the Burrup Peninsula has several large industrial complexes including iron ore, liquefied natural gas production, salt production and fertilisers with one of Australia's largest ports. Since some of the petroglyphs adjoin industrial areas there has been very public concern expressed that the petroglyphs could be damaged by airborne emissions from the industry. In 2002, The Western Australian government established the independent Burrup Rock Art Monitoring Management Committee (BRAMMC) to review the available expertise and oversee the studies that were conducted to establish whether industrial emissions are likely to affect the petroglyphs under the patronage of the Burrup Rock Art Technical Working Group monitoring program (BRATWG). In 2003 the BRAMMC commissioned a number of studies to monitor the petroglyphs. They included air dispersion modelling studies, air quality and microclimate; colour change, dust deposition and accelerated weathering study and mineral spectroscopy carried out by CSIRO. The studies were based on the monitoring of seven sites with two control sites located on the northern Burrup area and the other five located further south on the lower Burrup Peninsula, closer to the industrial areas. For the last 10 years (2004 to 2013), petroglyphs at seven specially selected sites (chosen under the guidance of indigenous elders) in the Burrup Peninsula were measured using colour and reflectance spectroscopy measurements.

Yara Pilbara Nitrates Pty Ltd (YPNPL), formerly Burrup Nitrates Pty Ltd (BNPL), is a joint venture between Yara, Orica and Apache. In November 2013, YPNPL approached CSIRO to assess its ability to become the heritage monitor for the TAN Project on the Burrup Peninsula and to provide a written endorsement of the proposed monitoring strategy. Yara Pilbara Nitrates Pty Ltd is constructing a Technical Ammonium Nitrate Production Facility on the Burrup Peninsula adjacent to the existing Yara Pilbara Fertilisers Pty Ltd ammonia plant. Environmental approval under the EPBC Act is subject to a number of conditions including a requirement for monitoring of rock art within two kilometres of the plant site. The site construction commenced on the 18th February 2013 and in agreement with the varied condition the first rock art monitoring associated with the TAN project should be completed by 18 June 2014. The approach for rock art monitoring is to involve monitoring sites within 2km of the plant site. Following a presentation at meeting of the Murujuga Circle of Elders and a subsequent visit to the proposed monitoring sites with two elders and a Murujuga ranger during December 2013, the Circle of Elders on 28 March 2014 provided their agreement to the use of the particular petroglyph panels for non-disturbance monitoring.

CSIRO was to assess the location and number of monitoring sites within 2 km of the YPNLT plant site and to conduct, colour contrast monitoring, field spectral mineralogy and 3D visual imaging of rock art at the three sites on the Burrup Peninsula.


2. Location and sampling of the petroglyphs

For the BRATWG study, the sites for monitoring were determined by the Rock Art Management Committee, and the final decision for a representative petroglyph at each site (each site contains one or more petroglyphs) was determined in consultation with the Committee’s Technical Advisor and nominated representatives of the local indigenous communities including members of Murujuga Aboriginal Corporation. Respecting the cultural laws of the traditional owners for the entitlement of access, the selected petroglyphs were firstly evaluated for their suitability for scientific study, including aspect (e.g. elevation and direction of exposure). For this study, a similar approach was chosen that takes into account the location of the plant site and its 2 km radius relative to the wind main directions through the year (Figure 1). The ultimate decision was made by the Elders of the Murujuga Aboriginal Corporation. The monitoring consists of six monitoring sites within 2km of the plant site. Three existing sites labelled 5 or Burrup Road, 6 or Water Tanks and 7 or Deep Gorge (Figure 1) from the (BRATWG) monitoring program and three additional monitoring sites within 2km of plant site labelled 21 or Yara West, 22 or Yara North East and 23 or Yara East (Figure 1). In July 2014, the three additional sites (21, 22 and 23) will become part of the BRATWG monitoring program with a new total of 10 monitoring sites.

On each monitored petroglyph panel, sampling areas were chosen based on a uniform colour over a minimum area of 20 mm, so that comparative measurements could be made between the various measuring instruments. For the BRATWG study, originally, three pairs of sampling ‘spots’ on each of the seven selected petroglyphs were identified (i.e. six sampling points per petroglyph):

- An area classified as ‘engraving’ – defined by the graffiti lines or pecking marks that constitute the image;
- An area classified as ‘background’ – a section of the adjacent rock surface unmarked by the petroglyph.

In 2013, an additional pair of sampling “spots” was measured bringing the total pairs of spots for each site to 4 (4 engravings and 4 backgrounds).






Figure 2: Google Earth® maps of the Burrup Peninsula with the petroglyphs location.

Table 1 Coordinates (GDA 94, Zone 50) of the 6 sites measured for the TAN monitoring project

Site	Site name	Coordinates (GDA 94, Zone 50)	
5	Burrup Rd	475,959	7,719,771
6	Water Tanks	477,698	7,720,137
7	Deep Gorge	477,956	7,717,987
21	Yara West	476,558	7,719,223
22	Yara North East	479,112	7,720,155
23	Yara East	478,849	7,719,565

3. Instrumentation

3.1 Spectrophotometer

Portable, hand-held spectrophotometry was identified as a suitable technique. It has been recognised as a repeatable way of recording colour in units of standard CIE chromaticity coordinates in many contexts, including archaeological situations (Mirti, 2004). CIE chromaticity coordinates are an internationally recognised numerical system of permanently and objectively describing the colour of a surface or material as a point in three-dimensional L*a*b* colour space, identifying a tristimulus value (L*a*b*) for each sample point.

In situ monitoring of degradative change through colour measurement has been reported by Mirmehdi *et al.* (2001), who undertook a pilot study designed for monitoring and modelling the deterioration of paint residues in a cave environment through digital image comparisons with a reference image. The template-matching technique was considered unsuitable and impractical for the Burrup study for two reasons:

- a) Template matching, as described by Mirmehdi *et al.* (2001), would require the collection of digital images with repeatable and controlled spectral illumination, angle of incidence and collection. Burrup petroglyphs are located in remote, exposed locations, and it would not be possible to control the colour, temperature and angle of the ambient lighting easily without blocking all the ambient daylight, or collecting images in the night with the ambient moon and starlight removed.
- b) The effect of metamerism in relation to the reference template and rock surface has not been accounted for. It is well known that surfaces appearing similar in colour under one set of illumination conditions can appear dramatically different with another spectral illuminant or angle of incidence. The reference template is a glossy (laminated) smooth surface, while the rocks in this study are significantly rougher.

The difference between two colours measured instrumentally is ΔE . It derives from the German word – *Empfindung* – which means a difference in sensation. A ΔE value of zero represents an exact match. It is the standard CIE colour difference method, and measures the distance between the two colours, calculated in 3D L*a*b* colour space. In this way, colour difference can be evaluated through measuring the tristimulus values of points over time, and calculating ΔE to evaluate the colour difference with time. This enabled the colour contrast between an engraving and a rock surface to be monitored to evaluate whether it is decreasing.

The difference between two colours, ΔE , can be evaluated using the 1976 CIE colour difference formula (Hunter, 1987). In CIE L*a*b* space, the difference is:

$$\Delta E^*_{ab} = [(\Delta L^*)^2 + (\Delta a^*)^2 + (\Delta b^*)^2]^{0.5}$$

This was used to evaluate the colour change of single points between consecutive years over which the monitoring occurred.



Figure 3. Konica Minolta Photospectrometer in use for the measurement of Petroglyphs (Site 7)

The instrument used for colour measurement is a portable Konica Minolta CM-700/600d spectrophotometer with inbuilt spectral illuminants (Figure 3).

It is essential to use an artificial light source for reproducibility and determination of colour change, as the fluctuations in the natural daylight spectrum due to time of day, season and weather means naturally illuminated measurements would be inconsistent and unreliable.

At each monitoring spot, 21 separate measurements were made, lifting the instrument head off the surface between each measurement.

3.2 Reflectance spectrometer

Reflectance spectroscopy is now available as a field tool for geologists through the development of portable instruments like the Analytical Spectral Device (ASD) FieldSpecPro field spectrometer. These systems measure diagnostic mineral spectral features that are particularly suitable for quantitative analysis of many geological materials. Some of the advantages of the technique include little sample preparation (if any), and rapid measurement (around 1 s) though the measurement is restricted to the sample's surface (< 50 µm).

Reflectance spectroscopy, the analysis of reflected light, between 380 and 2500 nm is now a proven technique for mineral analysis in both the laboratory and in the field. Reflectance spectroscopy has been used intensely to characterise weathering minerals such as iron oxides and clay minerals. The most common iron oxides minerals (hematite, maghemite and goethite) have broad absorptions between 380 and 1000 nm (visible and near infrared or VNIR), whereas OH-bearing minerals such as phyllosilicates, inosilicates as well as carbonates and sulphates show narrow absorption features between 1000 to 2500 nm (short wave infrared or SWIR). The combination of these wavelength ranges provides a step forward towards quick and accurate mineral characterisation.

The Analytical Spectral Device (ASD) FieldSpec Pro covers the spectral range 380-2500 nm with a spectral resolution of 3 nm at 700 nm using 3 detectors: a 512 element Si photodiode array for the 380-1000 nm range and two separate, TE cooled, graded index InGaAs photodiodes for the 1000-2500 nm range. The input is through a 1.4 m optic fibre. The average scanning time to acquire a spectrum is 1 second. There are two ways of operating the ASD, it consists of either using (1) an external source of light (sun or artificial) or (2) an internal source of light. The absolute measurements are obtained using a white reference plate that reflects 100% of the light in the 380 to 2500 nm wavelength range. For this study, the second option for lighting was used as it eliminates any external light interference.

The measurements involved 10 sets of measurements at each monitoring spot - five readings were taken for each set, then the sampling head was lifted off and repositioned on the surface for the next set.



Figure 4 ASD FieldSpecPro and Konica Minolta CM-700dspectrophotometer operating on petroglyphs in the Burrup Peninsula (2013)

3.3 3D imaging camera

The 3D mapping to monitor sub-millimetre depth change to both the engravings and the background was completed using a very high resolution digital Nikon D200 camera with an AF Micro Nikkro 60 mm 1:2.8 D lens. A first photograph is acquired at a known distance from the petroglyph followed by the acquisition of a second photograph at the same distance from the petroglyph but moved laterally at 1/6 of the first photograph to generate a 3 D image. Two rulers are visible in the pictures and provide scale.

4. Results

4.1 Petroglyphs engraving and background spots

Four engravings and four background spots were chosen for each of the six petroglyphs locations (Sites 5, 6, 7, 21, 22 and 23) and their pictures and locations are shown in Table 2. The original three original sites from the decade-old BRATWG monitoring study include 5, 6 and 7. Site 5 or “Burrup Road” consists of a 26 x 15 cm waterbird engraved on a weathered granophyre. Site 6 or “Water Tanks” exhibits a 50 x 25cm petroglyph with pecked bird footprints also on weathered granophyre. Site 7 or “Deep Gorge” shows a 70 x 35cm macropod on a weathered gabbro. The new sites include 21, 22 and 23. Site 21 or “Yara West” consists of a 65 x 60cm petroglyph with anthropomorphs and turtle engraved on a weathered granophyre. Site 22 or “Yara North East” shows a 13 x 9cm geometric figure as part of 40 x 40cm panel of circles chiseled on a weathered gabbro. Site 23 or “Yara East” exhibits a 57 x 67cm petroglyph depicting a fishing net on weathered gabbro. On each petroglyph 4 pairs of spots have been selected. Each pair includes 1 engraving and 1 background (Table 2).

4.2 Colour and spectral information


All the reflectance spectra, colour values and colour differences between engravings and background are provided in Table 3, Table 4, Table 5, Table 6, Table 7 and Table 8 for the 6 sites.

All the reflectance spectra have been averaged per engraving and background spots.


To statistically evaluate the variability of the colour measurements, 21 independent measurements were taken at each sample point, to reduce sample variance introduced by surface heterogeneity or roughness, and by systematic error. For clarity, the raw L*a*b* data has not been included here, but averages of the data are presented with the colour difference measurements calculated with the standard CIE methods.

These spectra and colour values are the data that will be used as baseline for the future studies: that is new data acquired in the next three years starting in July 2014 will be compared to the current dataset to estimate potential changes for colour and spectral mineralogy.


Table 2 Location of the engravings and background spots for the 6 petroglyphs

Location and description of the petroglyphs	Photographs with engravings and background spots location
<p>Site 5 Burrup Road 26 x 15cm waterbird on granophyre</p>	
<p>Site 6 Water Tanks 50 x 25cm pecked bird footprints on granophyre</p>	
<p>Site 7 Deep Gorge 70 x 35cm macropod on gabbro</p>	

Site 21 Yara West
65 x 60cm anthropomorphs and turtle on granophyre



Site 22 Yara North East
13 x 9cm geometric figure as part of 40 x 40cm panel of circles on gabbro



Site 23 Yara East
57 x 67cm fishing net on gabbro



Table 3 Reflectance spectra and photospectrometry results for Site 5









Location	Spectra Engravings	Spectra Background																									
Site 5 Spot 1	 <p>Site 5 Spot 1 Engravings</p> <p>Reflectance vs Wavelength (nm) graph showing a peak around 1500-2000 nm.</p>	 <p>Site 5 Spot 1 Background</p> <p>Reflectance vs Wavelength (nm) graph showing a peak around 1500-2000 nm.</p>																									
		<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sample</th> <th>L*</th> <th>a*</th> <th>b*</th> <th>ΔE: Bkg - Eng</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Site 5 Spot 1 Engraving</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Average 2013</td> <td>35.93</td> <td>16.78</td> <td>19.48</td> <td>2.83</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Site 5 Spot 1 Background</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Average 2013</td> <td>35.78</td> <td>15.77</td> <td>17.56</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Sample	L*	a*	b*	ΔE: Bkg - Eng	Site 5 Spot 1 Engraving					Average 2013	35.93	16.78	19.48	2.83	Site 5 Spot 1 Background					Average 2013	35.78	15.77	17.56	
	Sample	L*	a*	b*	ΔE: Bkg - Eng																						
Site 5 Spot 1 Engraving																											
Average 2013	35.93	16.78	19.48	2.83																							
Site 5 Spot 1 Background																											
Average 2013	35.78	15.77	17.56																								
Site 5 Spot 2	 <p>Site 5 Spot 2 Engravings</p> <p>Reflectance vs Wavelength (nm) graph showing a peak around 1500-2000 nm.</p>	 <p>Site 5 Spot 2 Background</p> <p>Reflectance vs Wavelength (nm) graph showing a peak around 1500-2000 nm.</p>																									
		<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sample</th> <th>L*</th> <th>a*</th> <th>b*</th> <th>ΔE: Bkg - Eng</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Site 5 Spot 2 Engraving</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Average 2013</td> <td>35.15</td> <td>18.61</td> <td>22.35</td> <td>1.96</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Site 5 Spot 2 Background</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Average 2013</td> <td>31.09</td> <td>14.44</td> <td>14.87</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Sample	L*	a*	b*	ΔE: Bkg - Eng	Site 5 Spot 2 Engraving					Average 2013	35.15	18.61	22.35	1.96	Site 5 Spot 2 Background					Average 2013	31.09	14.44	14.87	
	Sample	L*	a*	b*	ΔE: Bkg - Eng																						
Site 5 Spot 2 Engraving																											
Average 2013	35.15	18.61	22.35	1.96																							
Site 5 Spot 2 Background																											
Average 2013	31.09	14.44	14.87																								
Site 5 Spot 3	 <p>Site 5 Spot 3 Engravings</p> <p>Reflectance vs Wavelength (nm) graph showing a peak around 1500-2000 nm.</p>	 <p>Site 5 Spot 3 Background</p> <p>Reflectance vs Wavelength (nm) graph showing a peak around 1500-2000 nm.</p>																									
		<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sample</th> <th>L*</th> <th>a*</th> <th>b*</th> <th>ΔE: Bkg - Eng</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Site 5 Spot 3 Engraving</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Average 2013</td> <td>38.21</td> <td>18.94</td> <td>22.85</td> <td>1.46</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Site 5 Spot 3 Background</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Average 2013</td> <td>32.53</td> <td>12.21</td> <td>13.02</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Sample	L*	a*	b*	ΔE: Bkg - Eng	Site 5 Spot 3 Engraving					Average 2013	38.21	18.94	22.85	1.46	Site 5 Spot 3 Background					Average 2013	32.53	12.21	13.02	
	Sample	L*	a*	b*	ΔE: Bkg - Eng																						
Site 5 Spot 3 Engraving																											
Average 2013	38.21	18.94	22.85	1.46																							
Site 5 Spot 3 Background																											
Average 2013	32.53	12.21	13.02																								
Site 5 Spot 4	 <p>Site 5 Spot 4 Engravings</p> <p>Reflectance vs Wavelength (nm) graph showing a peak around 1500-2000 nm.</p>	 <p>Site 5 Spot 4 Background</p> <p>Reflectance vs Wavelength (nm) graph showing a peak around 1500-2000 nm.</p>																									
		<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sample</th> <th>L*</th> <th>a*</th> <th>b*</th> <th>ΔE: Bkg - Eng</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Site 5 Spot 4 Engraving</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Average 2013</td> <td>37.69</td> <td>19.24</td> <td>22.26</td> <td>11.35</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Site 5 Spot 4 Background</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Average 2013</td> <td>32.44</td> <td>14.87</td> <td>15.68</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Sample	L*	a*	b*	ΔE: Bkg - Eng	Site 5 Spot 4 Engraving					Average 2013	37.69	19.24	22.26	11.35	Site 5 Spot 4 Background					Average 2013	32.44	14.87	15.68	
	Sample	L*	a*	b*	ΔE: Bkg - Eng																						
Site 5 Spot 4 Engraving																											
Average 2013	37.69	19.24	22.26	11.35																							
Site 5 Spot 4 Background																											
Average 2013	32.44	14.87	15.68																								

Table 4 Reflectance spectra and photospectrometry results for Site 6









Location	Spectra Engravings	Spectra Background																								
Site 6 Spot 1	 <p>Site 6 Spot 1 Engravings</p> <p>Reflectance vs Wavelength (nm) graph showing Site6 Spot1 Eng 2013 data.</p>	 <p>Site 6 Spot 1 Background</p> <p>Reflectance vs Wavelength (nm) graph showing Site6 Spot1 Bcg 2013 data.</p>																								
		<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sample</th> <th>L*</th> <th>a*</th> <th>b*</th> <th>ΔE: Bkg - Eng</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Site 6 Spot 1 Engraving</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Average 2013</td> <td>40.92</td> <td>11.80</td> <td>17.65</td> <td>0.97</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Site 6 Spot 1 Background</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Average 2013</td> <td>39.24</td> <td>12.65</td> <td>17.11</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Sample	L*	a*	b*	ΔE: Bkg - Eng	Site 6 Spot 1 Engraving					Average 2013	40.92	11.80	17.65	0.97	Site 6 Spot 1 Background					Average 2013	39.24	12.65	17.11
Sample	L*	a*	b*	ΔE: Bkg - Eng																						
Site 6 Spot 1 Engraving																										
Average 2013	40.92	11.80	17.65	0.97																						
Site 6 Spot 1 Background																										
Average 2013	39.24	12.65	17.11																							
Site 6 Spot 2	 <p>Site 6 Spot 2 Engravings</p> <p>Reflectance vs Wavelength (nm) graph showing Site6 Spot2 Eng 2013 data.</p>	 <p>Site 6 Spot 2 Background</p> <p>Reflectance vs Wavelength (nm) graph showing Site6 Spot2 Bcg 2013 data.</p>																								
		<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sample</th> <th>L*</th> <th>a*</th> <th>b*</th> <th>ΔE: Bkg - Eng</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Site 6 Spot 2 Engraving</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Average 2013</td> <td>39.86</td> <td>11.36</td> <td>16.85</td> <td>1.09</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Site 6 Spot 2 Background</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Average 2013</td> <td>38.52</td> <td>12.80</td> <td>16.66</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Sample	L*	a*	b*	ΔE: Bkg - Eng	Site 6 Spot 2 Engraving					Average 2013	39.86	11.36	16.85	1.09	Site 6 Spot 2 Background					Average 2013	38.52	12.80	16.66
Sample	L*	a*	b*	ΔE: Bkg - Eng																						
Site 6 Spot 2 Engraving																										
Average 2013	39.86	11.36	16.85	1.09																						
Site 6 Spot 2 Background																										
Average 2013	38.52	12.80	16.66																							
Site 6 Spot 3	 <p>Site 6 Spot 3 Engravings</p> <p>Reflectance vs Wavelength (nm) graph showing Site6 Spot3 Eng 2013 data.</p>	 <p>Site 6 Spot 3 Background</p> <p>Reflectance vs Wavelength (nm) graph showing Site6 Spot3 Bcg 2013 data.</p>																								
		<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sample</th> <th>L*</th> <th>a*</th> <th>b*</th> <th>ΔE: Bkg - Eng</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Site 6 Spot 3 Engraving</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Average 2013</td> <td>38.92</td> <td>11.68</td> <td>16.22</td> <td>0.76</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Site 6 Spot 3 Background</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Average 2013</td> <td>38.48</td> <td>13.00</td> <td>16.88</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Sample	L*	a*	b*	ΔE: Bkg - Eng	Site 6 Spot 3 Engraving					Average 2013	38.92	11.68	16.22	0.76	Site 6 Spot 3 Background					Average 2013	38.48	13.00	16.88
Sample	L*	a*	b*	ΔE: Bkg - Eng																						
Site 6 Spot 3 Engraving																										
Average 2013	38.92	11.68	16.22	0.76																						
Site 6 Spot 3 Background																										
Average 2013	38.48	13.00	16.88																							
Site 6 Spot 4	 <p>Site 6 Spot 4 Engravings</p> <p>Reflectance vs Wavelength (nm) graph showing Site6 Spot4 Eng 2013 data.</p>	 <p>Site 6 Spot 4 Background</p> <p>Reflectance vs Wavelength (nm) graph showing Site6 Spot4 Bcg 2013 data.</p>																								
		<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sample</th> <th>L*</th> <th>a*</th> <th>b*</th> <th>ΔE: Bkg - Eng</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Site 6 Spot 4 Engraving</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Average 2013</td> <td>41.12</td> <td>10.97</td> <td>16.58</td> <td>8.98</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Site 6 Spot 4 Background</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Average 2013</td> <td>39.43</td> <td>13.37</td> <td>17.05</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Sample	L*	a*	b*	ΔE: Bkg - Eng	Site 6 Spot 4 Engraving					Average 2013	41.12	10.97	16.58	8.98	Site 6 Spot 4 Background					Average 2013	39.43	13.37	17.05
Sample	L*	a*	b*	ΔE: Bkg - Eng																						
Site 6 Spot 4 Engraving																										
Average 2013	41.12	10.97	16.58	8.98																						
Site 6 Spot 4 Background																										
Average 2013	39.43	13.37	17.05																							

Table 5 Reflectance spectra and photospectrometry results for Site 7









Location	Spectra Engravings	Spectra Background																									
Site 7 Spot 1	 <p>Site 7 Spot 1 Engravings</p> <p>Reflectance vs Wavelength (nm) graph showing a peak around 1800 nm. Y-axis: 0 to 0.4. X-axis: 0 to 3000.</p>	 <p>Site 7 Spot 1 Background</p> <p>Reflectance vs Wavelength (nm) graph showing a peak around 1800 nm. Y-axis: 0 to 0.5. X-axis: 0 to 2500.</p>																									
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sample</th> <th>L*</th> <th>a*</th> <th>b*</th> <th>ΔE: Bkg - Eng</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Site 7 Spot 1 Engraving</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Average 2013</td> <td>34.24</td> <td>13.87</td> <td>17.79</td> <td>0.95</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Site 7 Spot 1 Background</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Average 2013</td> <td>29.54</td> <td>13.15</td> <td>14.93</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Sample	L*	a*	b*	ΔE: Bkg - Eng	Site 7 Spot 1 Engraving					Average 2013	34.24	13.87	17.79	0.95	Site 7 Spot 1 Background					Average 2013	29.54	13.15	14.93	
	Sample	L*	a*	b*	ΔE: Bkg - Eng																						
Site 7 Spot 1 Engraving																											
Average 2013	34.24	13.87	17.79	0.95																							
Site 7 Spot 1 Background																											
Average 2013	29.54	13.15	14.93																								
Site 7 Spot 2	 <p>Site 7 Spot 2 Engravings</p> <p>Reflectance vs Wavelength (nm) graph showing a peak around 1800 nm. Y-axis: 0 to 0.4. X-axis: 0 to 3000.</p>	 <p>Site 7 Spot 2 Background</p> <p>Reflectance vs Wavelength (nm) graph showing a peak around 1800 nm. Y-axis: 0 to 0.5. X-axis: 0 to 3000.</p>																									
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sample</th> <th>L*</th> <th>a*</th> <th>b*</th> <th>ΔE: Bkg - Eng</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Site 7 Spot 2 Engraving</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Average 2013</td> <td>32.87</td> <td>14.21</td> <td>16.49</td> <td>1.73</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Site 7 Spot 2 Background</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Average 2013</td> <td>27.39</td> <td>12.91</td> <td>13.16</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Sample	L*	a*	b*	ΔE: Bkg - Eng	Site 7 Spot 2 Engraving					Average 2013	32.87	14.21	16.49	1.73	Site 7 Spot 2 Background					Average 2013	27.39	12.91	13.16	
	Sample	L*	a*	b*	ΔE: Bkg - Eng																						
Site 7 Spot 2 Engraving																											
Average 2013	32.87	14.21	16.49	1.73																							
Site 7 Spot 2 Background																											
Average 2013	27.39	12.91	13.16																								
Site 7 Spot 3	 <p>Site 7 Spot 3 Engravings</p> <p>Reflectance vs Wavelength (nm) graph showing a peak around 1800 nm. Y-axis: 0 to 0.4. X-axis: 0 to 3000.</p>	 <p>Site 7 Spot 3 Background</p> <p>Reflectance vs Wavelength (nm) graph showing a peak around 1800 nm. Y-axis: 0 to 0.6. X-axis: 0 to 3000.</p>																									
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sample</th> <th>L*</th> <th>a*</th> <th>b*</th> <th>ΔE: Bkg - Eng</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Site 7 Spot 3 Engraving</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Average 2013</td> <td>34.09</td> <td>14.02</td> <td>16.40</td> <td>1.02</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Site 7 Spot 3 Background</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Average 2013</td> <td>30.87</td> <td>14.55</td> <td>16.01</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Sample	L*	a*	b*	ΔE: Bkg - Eng	Site 7 Spot 3 Engraving					Average 2013	34.09	14.02	16.40	1.02	Site 7 Spot 3 Background					Average 2013	30.87	14.55	16.01	
	Sample	L*	a*	b*	ΔE: Bkg - Eng																						
Site 7 Spot 3 Engraving																											
Average 2013	34.09	14.02	16.40	1.02																							
Site 7 Spot 3 Background																											
Average 2013	30.87	14.55	16.01																								
Site 7 Spot 4	 <p>Site 7 Spot 4 Engravings</p> <p>Reflectance vs Wavelength (nm) graph showing a peak around 1800 nm. Y-axis: 0 to 0.45. X-axis: 0 to 3000.</p>	 <p>Site 7 Spot 4 Background</p> <p>Reflectance vs Wavelength (nm) graph showing a peak around 1800 nm. Y-axis: 0 to 0.45. X-axis: 0 to 3000.</p>																									
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sample</th> <th>L*</th> <th>a*</th> <th>b*</th> <th>ΔE: Bkg - Eng</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Site 7 Spot 4 Engraving</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Average 2013</td> <td>38.03</td> <td>15.29</td> <td>19.25</td> <td>1.8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Site 7 Spot 4 Background</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Average 2013</td> <td>30.26</td> <td>12.88</td> <td>13.97</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Sample	L*	a*	b*	ΔE: Bkg - Eng	Site 7 Spot 4 Engraving					Average 2013	38.03	15.29	19.25	1.8	Site 7 Spot 4 Background					Average 2013	30.26	12.88	13.97	
	Sample	L*	a*	b*	ΔE: Bkg - Eng																						
Site 7 Spot 4 Engraving																											
Average 2013	38.03	15.29	19.25	1.8																							
Site 7 Spot 4 Background																											
Average 2013	30.26	12.88	13.97																								









Table 6 Reflectance spectra and photospectrometry results for Site 21

Location	Spectra Engravings	Spectra Background																									
Site 21 Spot 1																											
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sample</th> <th>L*</th> <th>a*</th> <th>b*</th> <th>ΔE: Bkg - Eng</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Site 21 Spot 1 Engraving</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Average 2013</td> <td>38.04</td> <td>16.35</td> <td>21.38</td> <td>10.68</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Site 21 Spot 1 Background</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Average 2013</td> <td>31.59</td> <td>13.76</td> <td>13.26</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Sample	L*	a*	b*	ΔE: Bkg - Eng	Site 21 Spot 1 Engraving					Average 2013	38.04	16.35	21.38	10.68	Site 21 Spot 1 Background					Average 2013	31.59	13.76	13.26	
	Sample	L*	a*	b*	ΔE: Bkg - Eng																						
Site 21 Spot 1 Engraving																											
Average 2013	38.04	16.35	21.38	10.68																							
Site 21 Spot 1 Background																											
Average 2013	31.59	13.76	13.26																								
Site 21 Spot 2																											
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sample</th> <th>L*</th> <th>a*</th> <th>b*</th> <th>ΔE: Bkg - Eng</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Site 21 Spot 2 Engraving</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Average 2013</td> <td>36.08</td> <td>15.33</td> <td>20.04</td> <td>4.59</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Site 21 Spot 2 Background</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Average 2013</td> <td>33.77</td> <td>14.19</td> <td>16.23</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Sample	L*	a*	b*	ΔE: Bkg - Eng	Site 21 Spot 2 Engraving					Average 2013	36.08	15.33	20.04	4.59	Site 21 Spot 2 Background					Average 2013	33.77	14.19	16.23	
	Sample	L*	a*	b*	ΔE: Bkg - Eng																						
Site 21 Spot 2 Engraving																											
Average 2013	36.08	15.33	20.04	4.59																							
Site 21 Spot 2 Background																											
Average 2013	33.77	14.19	16.23																								
Site 21 Spot 3																											
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sample</th> <th>L*</th> <th>a*</th> <th>b*</th> <th>ΔE: Bkg - Eng</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Site 21 Spot 3 Engraving</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Average 2013</td> <td>38.57</td> <td>16.01</td> <td>21.17</td> <td>9.15</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Site 21 Spot 3 Background</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Average 2013</td> <td>31.56</td> <td>13.99</td> <td>15.64</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Sample	L*	a*	b*	ΔE: Bkg - Eng	Site 21 Spot 3 Engraving					Average 2013	38.57	16.01	21.17	9.15	Site 21 Spot 3 Background					Average 2013	31.56	13.99	15.64	
	Sample	L*	a*	b*	ΔE: Bkg - Eng																						
Site 21 Spot 3 Engraving																											
Average 2013	38.57	16.01	21.17	9.15																							
Site 21 Spot 3 Background																											
Average 2013	31.56	13.99	15.64																								
Site 21 Spot 4																											
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sample</th> <th>L*</th> <th>a*</th> <th>b*</th> <th>ΔE: Bkg - Eng</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Site 21 Spot 4 Engraving</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Average 2013</td> <td>37.41</td> <td>17.28</td> <td>22.16</td> <td>11.35</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Site 21 Spot 4 Background</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Average 2013</td> <td>31.53</td> <td>12.39</td> <td>13.77</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Sample	L*	a*	b*	ΔE: Bkg - Eng	Site 21 Spot 4 Engraving					Average 2013	37.41	17.28	22.16	11.35	Site 21 Spot 4 Background					Average 2013	31.53	12.39	13.77	
	Sample	L*	a*	b*	ΔE: Bkg - Eng																						
Site 21 Spot 4 Engraving																											
Average 2013	37.41	17.28	22.16	11.35																							
Site 21 Spot 4 Background																											
Average 2013	31.53	12.39	13.77																								

Table 7 Reflectance spectra and photospectrometry results for Site 22

Location	Spectra Engravings	Spectra Background																								
Site 22 Spot 1																										
		<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sample</th> <th>L*</th> <th>a*</th> <th>b*</th> <th>ΔE: Bkg - Eng</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Site 22 Spot 1 Engraving</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Average 2013</td> <td>36.82</td> <td>13.54</td> <td>17.23</td> <td>5.90</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Site 22 Spot 1 Background</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Average 2013</td> <td>33.80</td> <td>12.11</td> <td>12.37</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Sample	L*	a*	b*	ΔE: Bkg - Eng	Site 22 Spot 1 Engraving					Average 2013	36.82	13.54	17.23	5.90	Site 22 Spot 1 Background					Average 2013	33.80	12.11	12.37
Sample	L*	a*	b*	ΔE: Bkg - Eng																						
Site 22 Spot 1 Engraving																										
Average 2013	36.82	13.54	17.23	5.90																						
Site 22 Spot 1 Background																										
Average 2013	33.80	12.11	12.37																							
Site 22 Spot 2																										
		<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sample</th> <th>L*</th> <th>a*</th> <th>b*</th> <th>ΔE: Bkg - Eng</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Site 22 Spot 2 Engraving</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Average 2013</td> <td>35.15</td> <td>13.64</td> <td>17.04</td> <td>4.30</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Site 22 Spot 2 Background</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Average 2013</td> <td>32.32</td> <td>12.52</td> <td>14.00</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Sample	L*	a*	b*	ΔE: Bkg - Eng	Site 22 Spot 2 Engraving					Average 2013	35.15	13.64	17.04	4.30	Site 22 Spot 2 Background					Average 2013	32.32	12.52	14.00
Sample	L*	a*	b*	ΔE: Bkg - Eng																						
Site 22 Spot 2 Engraving																										
Average 2013	35.15	13.64	17.04	4.30																						
Site 22 Spot 2 Background																										
Average 2013	32.32	12.52	14.00																							
Site 22 Spot 3																										
		<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sample</th> <th>L*</th> <th>a*</th> <th>b*</th> <th>ΔE: Bkg - Eng</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Site 22 Spot 3 Engraving</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Average 2013</td> <td>37.11</td> <td>14.41</td> <td>18.33</td> <td>5.43</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Site 22 Spot 3 Background</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Average 2013</td> <td>34.06</td> <td>12.75</td> <td>14.15</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Sample	L*	a*	b*	ΔE: Bkg - Eng	Site 22 Spot 3 Engraving					Average 2013	37.11	14.41	18.33	5.43	Site 22 Spot 3 Background					Average 2013	34.06	12.75	14.15
Sample	L*	a*	b*	ΔE: Bkg - Eng																						
Site 22 Spot 3 Engraving																										
Average 2013	37.11	14.41	18.33	5.43																						
Site 22 Spot 3 Background																										
Average 2013	34.06	12.75	14.15																							
Site 22 Spot 4																										
		<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sample</th> <th>L*</th> <th>a*</th> <th>b*</th> <th>ΔE: Bkg - Eng</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Site 22 Spot 4 Engraving</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Average 2013</td> <td>37.32</td> <td>14.11</td> <td>18.48</td> <td>7.43</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Site 22 Spot 4 Background</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Average 2013</td> <td>33.63</td> <td>11.92</td> <td>12.42</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Sample	L*	a*	b*	ΔE: Bkg - Eng	Site 22 Spot 4 Engraving					Average 2013	37.32	14.11	18.48	7.43	Site 22 Spot 4 Background					Average 2013	33.63	11.92	12.42
Sample	L*	a*	b*	ΔE: Bkg - Eng																						
Site 22 Spot 4 Engraving																										
Average 2013	37.32	14.11	18.48	7.43																						
Site 22 Spot 4 Background																										
Average 2013	33.63	11.92	12.42																							

Table 8 Reflectance spectra and photospectrometry results for Site 23

Location	Spectra Engravings	Spectra Background																									
Site 23 Spot 1																											
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sample</th> <th>L*</th> <th>a*</th> <th>b*</th> <th>ΔE: Bkg - Eng</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Site 23 Spot 1 Engraving</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Average 2013</td> <td>38.39</td> <td>9.59</td> <td>16.49</td> <td>4.10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Site 23 Spot 1 Background</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Average 2013</td> <td>35.16</td> <td>12.08</td> <td>16.00</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Sample	L*	a*	b*	ΔE: Bkg - Eng	Site 23 Spot 1 Engraving					Average 2013	38.39	9.59	16.49	4.10	Site 23 Spot 1 Background					Average 2013	35.16	12.08	16.00		
	Sample	L*	a*	b*	ΔE: Bkg - Eng																						
Site 23 Spot 1 Engraving																											
Average 2013	38.39	9.59	16.49	4.10																							
Site 23 Spot 1 Background																											
Average 2013	35.16	12.08	16.00																								
Site 23 Spot 2																											
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sample</th> <th>L*</th> <th>a*</th> <th>b*</th> <th>ΔE: Bkg - Eng</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Site 23 Spot 2 Engraving</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Average 2013</td> <td>35.36</td> <td>12.90</td> <td>19.05</td> <td>2.10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Site 23 Spot 2 Background</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Average 2013</td> <td>36.93</td> <td>14.28</td> <td>19.04</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Sample	L*	a*	b*	ΔE: Bkg - Eng	Site 23 Spot 2 Engraving					Average 2013	35.36	12.90	19.05	2.10	Site 23 Spot 2 Background					Average 2013	36.93	14.28	19.04		
	Sample	L*	a*	b*	ΔE: Bkg - Eng																						
Site 23 Spot 2 Engraving																											
Average 2013	35.36	12.90	19.05	2.10																							
Site 23 Spot 2 Background																											
Average 2013	36.93	14.28	19.04																								
Site 23 Spot 3																											
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sample</th> <th>L*</th> <th>a*</th> <th>b*</th> <th>ΔE: Bkg - Eng</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Site 23 Spot 3 Engraving</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Average 2013</td> <td>38.17</td> <td>10.72</td> <td>17.42</td> <td>7.32</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Site 23 Spot 3 Background</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Average 2013</td> <td>31.70</td> <td>13.65</td> <td>15.65</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Sample	L*	a*	b*	ΔE: Bkg - Eng	Site 23 Spot 3 Engraving					Average 2013	38.17	10.72	17.42	7.32	Site 23 Spot 3 Background					Average 2013	31.70	13.65	15.65		
	Sample	L*	a*	b*	ΔE: Bkg - Eng																						
Site 23 Spot 3 Engraving																											
Average 2013	38.17	10.72	17.42	7.32																							
Site 23 Spot 3 Background																											
Average 2013	31.70	13.65	15.65																								
Site 23 Spot 4																											
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sample</th> <th>L*</th> <th>a*</th> <th>b*</th> <th>ΔE: Bkg - Eng</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Site 23 Spot 4 Engraving</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Average 2013</td> <td>36.39</td> <td>10.94</td> <td>17.20</td> <td>6.71</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Site 23 Spot 4 Background</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Average 2013</td> <td>31.61</td> <td>9.92</td> <td>12.60</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Sample	L*	a*	b*	ΔE: Bkg - Eng	Site 23 Spot 4 Engraving					Average 2013	36.39	10.94	17.20	6.71	Site 23 Spot 4 Background					Average 2013	31.61	9.92	12.60		
	Sample	L*	a*	b*	ΔE: Bkg - Eng																						
Site 23 Spot 4 Engraving																											
Average 2013	36.39	10.94	17.20	6.71																							
Site 23 Spot 4 Background																											
Average 2013	31.61	9.92	12.60																								

4.3 3D Mapping

The 3D mapping provides an approach of assessing the change in the surface of the petroglyphs. As explained for the colour and spectra measurements, the 3D images acquired this year will be used as a baseline for the following years to establish if the surface of the petroglyphs shows variations or changes.

The first photograph of the petroglyph was acquired at a known distance (180 or 300 cm based on the size of the petroglyphs). The second photograph was taken at the same distance from the petroglyph but was moved laterally at 1/6 distance of the first photograph to generate a 3 D image (Table 1). Two rulers are used to provide scale and dimension. To observe the 3D images a dedicated software called sirovision™ is required.

Table 9 Photographs information for the generation of the 3D mapping

Site Number	Distance from the Petroglyph (cm)	Photograph 1	Lateral Distance between the 2 photographs (cm)	Photograph 2
21	180		30	
22	180		30	
23	300		50	

5. Conclusions

Six sites were selected to perform the heritage monitoring of the petroglyphs within 2 km of the TAN Project plant site. This was achieved by consulting the members of Murujuga Aboriginal Corporation to respect the cultural laws of the traditional owners. The selected petroglyphs were evaluated for their appropriateness for scientific study, including petroglyph size and quality, direction of exposure, elevation, dominant winds direction within 2 km of the TAN project location.

From the six (6) selected monitoring sites, three (3) are already part of the decade-old and ongoing Burrup Rock Art Technical Working Group (BRATWG) monitoring program; and an additional three sites were also selected. In July 2014, the three new sites will become part of the BRATWG monitoring program.

For each monitored petroglyph panel, eight (8) sampling areas or “spots” were selected; four (4) areas classified as ‘engraving’ – defined by the pecking marks that constitute the image and four (4) area classified as ‘background’ – a section of the adjacent rock surface unmarked by the petroglyph.

The three types of measurements required for the monitoring included:

1. Colour contrast monitoring using a Konica Minolta photospectrometer providing objective colour measurements;
2. Visible near infrared and short wave infrared reflectance spectroscopy using an ASD FieldSpec 3 spectrometer to assess the mineralogy;
3. 3D visual imaging using a high resolution Nikon digital camera to map the surface of the petroglyphs.

This study represents the baseline dataset that will be used to monitor potential variation in colour, mineralogy and micro-topography that may occur in the future.

6. References

Mirti, P.; Davit, P., New developments in the study of ancient pottery by colour measurement, *Journal of Archaeological Science*, 2004, **31**(6), 741–751.

Mirmehdi, M.; Chalmers, A.; Barham, L; Griffiths, L., Automated analysis of environmental degradation of paint residues, *Journal of Archaeological Science*, 2001, **28**(12), 1329–1338.

CONTACT US

t 1 300 353 800
+61 8 9545 2176
e inquiries@csiro.au
w www.csiro.au

YOUR CSIRO

Australia is founding its future on science and innovation. Its national science agency, CSIRO, is a powerhouse of ideas, technologies and skills for building prosperity, growth, health and sustainability. It serves governments, industries, business and communities across the nation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION

CSIRO/Earth Science & resource Engineering
Erick Romanidou
t +61 8 9436 2810
e erick.romanidou@csiro.au
w www.csiro.au/Minerals-Down-Under